## **REMARKS**

## 35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-5, 7-9, 17, 18, 20, and 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Diemunsch</u>. Applicant submits that the claims, as amended, are not anticipated by <u>Diemunsch</u>.

The Examiner states as follows:

"Referring to claim 1, Diemunsch discloses ... a frame 110 (housing; see figures 1 and 2); a chassis 120 (see figures 1 and 2) inserted into the frame 110 ... and a thermal component 150 (see figures 1-3; column 4 lines 1-10) mounted to the frame..."

Referring to Figure 1 of <u>Diemunsch</u>, the Examiner equated components 110, 120, and 150 to the frame, chassis, and thermal component, respectively, of claim 1. The thermal component 150 is mounted to the chassis 120, and the chassis is mounted to the frame 110. It appears that the Examiner reasons that the thermal component 150 is mounted via the chassis 120 to the frame 110. What should thus be noted is that removal of the chassis 120 out of the frame 110 also causes removal of the thermal component 150 together with the chassis. The thermal component 150 does not remain behind on the frame 110 when the chassis 120 is removed out of the frame.

Claim 1 has been amended to state that the thermal component is mounted to the frame "to remain with the frame after removal of the chassis out of the

Stephen W. Montgomery, et al. Application No.: 10/039,136

Art Unit: 3743

frame." Claim 1 thus includes at least one limitation that is not disclosed in Diemunsch.

Claims 2-5 and 7-9 depend from claim 1, and should be allowable for the same reasons as claim 1.

Independent claim 17 includes the limitation that "the chassis component and the frame component having surfaces that (i) mate when the chassis is inserted into the frame ... and (ii) disengage when the chassis is removed out of the frame." This limitation is similar to the limitation added to claim 1. Claim 17 thus also includes a limitation that is not disclosed by <u>Diemunsch</u>.

Claim 18 depends from claim 17, and should be allowable for at least reasons as claim 17.

Claim 20 now includes the limitation that the frame component remains behind on the frame when the chassis is removed out of the frame. Claim 20 thus includes a limitation that is similar to the limitation added to claim 1. Claim 20 thus also includes a limitation that is not disclosed by <u>Diemunsch</u>.

Claim 21 depends from claim 20, and should be allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 20.

Applicant, accordingly, respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-5, 7-9, 17, 18, 20, and 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Diemunsch</u>.

Stephen W. Montgomery, et al. Application No.: 10/039,136

## 35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejections

The Examiner has rejected claims 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, and 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Diemunsch</u> in view of <u>Patel</u>, and rejected claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Diemunsch</u> in view of <u>Ohashi</u>. These claims depend from independent claims that have been dealt with above under the heading "35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections." These claims should thus be allowable for at least the same reasons as the independent claims.

Applicant, accordingly, respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejections of these claims.

## Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner's indication that claim 10 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form is noted with appreciation. Due to the nature of the inventions as presently claimed, Applicant does not at this stage deem it necessary to rewrite claim 10 in independent form.

Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call Stephen M. De Klerk at (408) 720-8300.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overages to Deposit Account

No. 02-2666. Any necessary extension of time for response not already requested

Stephen W. Montgomery, et al. Application No.: 10/039,136 Examiner: Nihir B. Patel

Art Unit: 3743

is hereby requested. Please charge any corresponding fee to Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: February 24, 2004

Stephen M. De Klerk Reg. No. 46,503

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1026 (408) 720-8300